



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/545,608	04/07/2000	Luke Hohmann	1531.0300001	9652
7.	590 07/29/2004		EXAM	INER
STEVEN M. HOFFBERG			NGUYEN, QUANG N	
MILDE & HOFFBERG LLP 10 BANK STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 460			2141	
WHITE PLAINS, NY 10606			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/545,608	HOHMANN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Quang N. Nguyen	2141			
The MAILING DATE of this community  Period for Reply	unication appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisio after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cor  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a mmunication. of (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ply will, by statute, cause the application to become A s after the mailing date of this communication, even i	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. IBANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 26 May 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in conditiclosed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims	on for allowance except for formal matrice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the	• •				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to resti	riction and/or election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 April 200</u>	<u>00</u> is/are: a)⊡ accepted or b)⊡ objecte	d to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any o	bjection to the drawing(s) be held in abey	/ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction fil	ed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ o	disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are r	required in reply to this Office action.				
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•			
13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a clai	m for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	s of the priority documents have beer rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ion for a list of the certified copies not	•			
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim					
	anguage provisional application has b	peen received.			
Attachment(s)		. 33 120 GHG/OF 121.			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 15			

Art Unit: 2141

Detail Action

Page 2

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set

forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this

application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set

forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action

has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on

05/26/2004 has been entered.

Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created

doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the

unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent

and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11

F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225

USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA

1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington,

418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Art Unit: 2141

3. A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be

used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double

patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly

owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

4. Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a

terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with

37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-

type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No.

5,623,679. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably

distinct from each other because the context of the claimed invention is the same as the

context of the cited claims of the US patent.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2141

6. The following chart provides the correspondence between the claims of the application and the claims of the patent:

# **Application 09/545,608** U.S. Patent 5,623,679 Claim 1: Claim 1: a database having stored therein at (2) a storage device for storing at least least one intellectual asset protocol one patent text document comprised of an defining at least one data exchange set of equivalent text file and at least one patent rules and formats for a type of intellectual image, said equivalent text file including asset data object; and linking information and equivalency information (i.e., rules and formats) detailing equivalency an relationship between said patent image file and a corresponding patent text file. at least one engine, wherein said at (1) a central processing unit (CPU). least one engine determines whether an intellectual asset data object of said type conforms to said intellectual asset protocol.

Art Unit: 2141

# Claim 2:

said type of said intellectual asset data object is selected from the group of a patent, a patent application, a trademark, a trademark application, etc.

### Claim 1:

a storage device for storing at least one patent text document (UNIX database 11 of Fig. 1).

### Claim 3:

said database is accessible through the Internet.

#### Claim 1:

said storage device (said UNIX database 11 is a USPTO database) accessible by users over the Internet.

# Claim 4:

said database is relational.

## Col. 20, lines 8-17:

discuss creation of a Volume Table of Contents from the PTO Text Tapes (i.e., storage device in claim 1) having multiple patents. The VOTC is used to update a Relational Database System for future reference.

Art Unit: 2141

#### Claim 5:

said database supports hypertext references.

#### Claim 1:

(4) note creation means for enabling a user to create note and to link said note to any patent text document stored in said storage device.

### Claim 6:

objects within said protocol conform to at least one Extended Markup Language (XML) Document Type Definition (DTD)

### Col. 17, lines 40-47:

the underlying structure of the information stored in the equivalent text file in claim 1 to be stored in a general markup language such as SGML (wherein XML is a pared-down version of SGML).

#### Claim 7:

said DTD comprises a union of structured bibliographic data of said intellectual asset data objects.

# Claim 5:

bibliographic formatting information specifying an approximate arrangement of bibliographic data contained in said patent text file as displayed in bibliographic page images in said patent image file.

Art Unit: 2141

### Claim 8:

input for receiving intellectual asset
data objects, a format of said input
intellectual asset data objects being
normalized for entry into said database.

# Col. 11, line 10 to Col. 12, line 8:

extracting/receiving from PTO magnetic tapes PTO text files and PTO image files which then being paginated to produce and index the corresponding PTO Equivalent Files in claim 1 to be stored in the database 11.

### Claim 9:

output for presenting information from said intellectual asset data objects.

# Claim 1:

(3) means for displaying said patent text document in a first window of said display unit and said patent image document in a second window of said display unit.

Art Unit: 2141

- 7. Claims 10-20 are corresponding system and method claims of claims 1-9; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.
- 8. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:
  - I. Applicant is requested to file a terminal disclaimer.
- II. Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
- III. A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire TWO MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

Art Unit: 2141

Page 9

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Quang N. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703)

305-8190.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

SPE, Rupal Dharia, can be reached at (703) 305-4003. The fax phone number for the

organization is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-

3800/4700.

Quang N. Nguyen

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER